10 of the WORST-SITED WIND ENERGY PROJECTS FOR BIRDS





March 24, 2016

abcbirds.org

INTRODUCTION

American Bird Conservancy (ABC) has identified 10 of the worst-sited wind energy projects for birds in the United States, both existing and proposed, with the intention of educating the public and key decisionmakers about bird impacts from wind development. Many individuals and organizations have embraced wind energy without addressing the difficult questions about its potential impact on our nation's wildlife. As a result, many wind development projects are causing significant bird mortality—at a scale that is now becoming a major source of concern for bird conservationists.

Hundreds of thousands of protected birds, including some Endangered species, are already being killed annually in collisions with wind turbines and associated power lines. The number of turbines is set to grow significantly as wind industry build-out continues across the landscape, likely causing a major increase in this already serious problem. Some prosecutions

have already taken place due to these mortality events, because the killing of migratory birds without a permit is a violation of federal law. Unfortunately, no permit system currently exists to address such migratory bird deaths—yet such a system could safeguard birds and industry as wind development expands.

This wind-power-related bird mortality adds to the many other threats that birds face that act cumulatively to impact

populations, yet it is likely among the most easily reduced through better siting and mitigation. We are still in the early stages of wind energy development and have time to get it right. Without careful planning, however, we could reach the point where some bird populations continue to decline toward extinction due to the accumulation of threats they face.

The 10 projects listed here are merely illustrative of a much broader problem, and have been selected to illustrate a range of wind development-related threats to birds in various regions and habitats that are unfortunately widespread in the wind industry. The listed wind projects are all found within red or orange "elevated risk" areas on <u>ABC's Wind Risk Assessment</u> <u>Map</u>. Many or all of these projects could undoubtedly be improved through re-siting;



the deployment of effective mitigation such as periodic shutdowns; and/or the identification and removal of the highest-risk turbines.

ABC is pro <u>Bird-Smart wind energy</u>. It is not ABC's intention to criticize wind development in general or the developers of the specific projects indicated

Hundreds of thousands of protected birds, including some Endangered species, are already being killed annually in collisions with wind turbines and associated power lines. below. Rather, this list is intended to demonstrate that under the present voluntary federal guidelines, there is an inadequate system of checks and balances to protect America's ecologically important migratory and resident birds from poorly sited wind energy development.

ABC continues to seek wind developers willing to voluntarily conduct their operations using the standard mitigation and compensation hierarchy

advocated by <u>ABC's Bird-Smart Wind Energy</u> <u>Campaign</u>, which is in line with the recent presidential memorandum on development mitigation to protect natural resources. To this end, we have entered into discussions with companies using, testing, or promoting effective mitigation strategies and alternate bird-friendly turbine technologies. We continue to welcome engagement with those who are seeking to avoid avian mortality and habitat impacts.

ABC believes that a mandatory permitting system is the best means to create a level playing field for all wind operators. Those trying to do the right thing should not be placed at a competitive disadvantage if they incur costs (or have reduced income) when implementing proper siting and bird mitigation. Let's take the opportunity to do this right while we still can.

10 of the Worst-sited Wind Energy Projects in the United States



EXISTING and APPROVED PROJECTS

Note: All projects are listed in alphabetical order.

1. CHOKECHERRY AND SIERRA-MADRE

Location: Carbon County, Wyoming (Power Company of Wyoming LLC)

Why listed: Located in key breeding and foraging habitat for Greater Sage-Grouse and Golden Eagle

This is a huge, up to 1,000-turbine development, that could eventually become the largest wind energy facility in the U.S. The project has been approved, and the first phase may begin soon. The site sits in sensitive Greater Sage-Grouse breeding habitat as well as vital Golden Eagle habitat. Sage-grouse are known to be displaced by turbines and associated power lines and towers. Bureau of Land Management biologists have estimated that 46-64 Golden Eagles could be killed here annually. The developer has disputed those findings and will apply for an Incidental Take Permit from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS).

To date only one such permit has been granted (to Shiloh IV in CA), although hundreds of eagles have been killed by wind energy facilities across the country.



Two prosecutions have taken place so far for eagle and other bird deaths (Pacificorp and Duke Energy); without a permit, any take of a Bald or Golden Eagle is illegal under federal law.

2. GULF WIND

Location: Kenedy County, Texas (Babcock & Brown, now owned by Pattern Energy)

Why listed: Located in a critical migratory pathway for songbirds; many raptor species are present; impacts habitat for declining grassland birds

This wind energy project, on the Kenedy Ranch in southern Texas, is located inside the Gulf Coast and Lower Rio Grande bird migration corridors. Many sensitive grassland species, such as Sprague's Pipit, Savannah Sparrow, Horned Lark, Grasshopper Sparrow, and Long-billed Curlew, also use the area and could be harmed through collisions or displacement. The area is also used by several resident raptor species, including White-tailed Hawk, Crested Caracara, and Harris's Hawk.

This region was recognized in the top 500 Important Bird Areas in the United States by ABC in 2003. To the developer's credit, radar units have been deployed to detect and shut down the turbines when large numbers of birds are present, but insufficient information is yet available to prove their effectiveness in preventing bird deaths at this location.

3. KAHEAWA

Location: Lahaina District, Maui, Hawaii (First Wind, now part of SunEdison)

Why listed: One of the top known killers of Endangered birds

To the state of Hawaii's credit, the project developers were required to complete an Environmental Impact Statement. Furthermore, following consultation under the Endangered Species Act (ESA), a Habitat Conservation Plan (HCP) that includes compensatory mitigation was also completed and is in force. In spite of that, as of February 2015, Kaheawa Phase I had killed at least 22 Nēnē (Hawaiian Goose) and seven Hawaiian Petrels, both Endangered species that have already been decimated by introduced predators, such as rats and feral cats, and habitat loss. The incidental take permit issued by FWS allows the developer to take up to 38 petrels and 60 Nēnē over the life of the permit, which ABC considers excessive.

This project illustrates the shortcomings of preconstruction risk assessments, HCPs, and incidental take permitting as currently practiced. Wind project



impact is often assessed project-by-project instead of considering the cumulative impact of several projects, and other factors affecting bird mortality are seldom incorporated.

No wind project in the country is known to have killed more Endangered birds than the Kaheawa facilities. However, Hawaii is the only state in which postconstruction mortality data are collected by thirdparty, independent experts and made available to the public on request, so Kaheawa is also one of the only projects in the country where Endangered species take can be reliably assessed.



4. LAUREL MOUNTAIN

Location: Laurel Mountain, West Virginia (AES Energy Storage)

Why listed: Site of one of the largest single songbird mortality events ever recorded in North America

This project illustrates risks that occur not just from turbines but also from other associated infrastructure, including power lines, communication towers, and battery storage facilities. Neotropical migratory birds, some of conservation concern, move through or nest in this area every spring and/or fall. These include Wood Thrush; Scarlet Tanager; and Black-throated Blue, Golden-winged, Worm-eating, and Connecticut Warblers.

In 2011, nearly 500 birds representing at least 30 species—including many Blackpoll Warblers and a number of Connecticut Warblers—were killed within a few hours when colliding at night under foggy conditions with lighted energy-storage units and communication towers near the turbines. To its credit, the company reported the incident, pled guilty to violations of the Migratory Bird Treaty Act, and was subsequently fined \$30,000 for the violations.



5. SUMMIT REPOWERING (AT ALTAMONT PASS)

Location: Alameda County, California (Altamont Winds, Inc.)

Why listed: Poses an ongoing threat to Golden Eagles and other birds as a result of poor siting

The nearly 5,000 turbines operated by four different developers in the Altamont Wind Resource Area in California are known to be among the top killers of birds in North America, with more than 2,000 Golden Eagles being lost since 1998 when the facilities started keeping track. Thousands of other birds of more than 70 species have been killed by wind turbines in the region as well.

Of all of these, the poorly-sited Summit Repowering Project (formerly the Altamont Winds Wind Energy Project) has long been one of the worst killers of eagles and other raptors. Now, the developer has applied for a permit to replace a portion of its array of older, lattice-style turbines with 33 much taller, modern monopole turbines. Summit Repowering has still not properly compensated for its huge volume of unlawful bird kills but now seeks permission from Alameda County to repower, without proper public review of its siting plan.

Unfortunately, given the facility's legacy of bird mortality, it is inconceivable that it will be able to avoid killing eagles and other raptors on terrain inhabited by one of the hemisphere's densest concentrations of Golden Eagles.

PROPOSED PROJECTS

6. CAPE WIND

Location: Nantucket Sound, Massachusetts (Cape Wind Associates)

Why listed: Proposed location in area with one of the largest concentrations of migratory birds in the world; high risk of catastrophic mortality events

Spread over a 24-square-mile area on Horseshoe Shoal in Nantucket Sound, this proposed project would be located offshore in an area that hosts one of the largest concentrations of migratory birds in the world. As many as six million birds move through this area in spring and fall, creating the potential for catastrophic mortality events, especially during bad weather. Endangered Piping Plovers and Roseate Terns use the area and could be threatened by the project. As many as a half-million sea ducks, including large numbers of Long-tailed Ducks and Common Eiders, also winter in the Nantucket Sound and could be displaced or killed in collisions.

Portions of Nantucket Sound—including Bird Island, where Roseate Terns breed—have been recognized as an Important Bird Area by ABC. The project was approved, is currently on hold following a series of legal challenges, but is still expected to seek approval for construction. FWS biologists had a negative view of the project's original Environmental Impact Statement, suggesting that the poor quality of the report made it impossible to accurately assess the potential impact on birds.



7. LIGHTHOUSE

Location: Niagara County, New York near the town of Somerset (Apex Clean Energy)

Why listed: Vast numbers of migratory songbirds and numbers of raptors rely on this area; close to breeding habitat for declining grassland birds

This proposed location on the southern shore of Lake Ontario boasts one of the greatest bird migrations in North America. Up to 71 turbines are planned for an area along the south shore of Lake Ontario. These 570-foot-tall turbines will extend 4.5 miles inland from the lake along a 12-mile stretch. Vast numbers of songbirds and raptors concentrate within six miles of the shoreline during spring and fall of each year. This area also has pockets of key habitat for sensitive grassland birds, which could be displaced by the wind turbines. Federally protected Bald Eagles from a nearby wildlife refuge are also at risk.

FWS has expressed serious concern about this project, warning the developer that this is an area of extremely high avian use. However, the developer appears to be going ahead with its plans, conducting its own studies, disputing previous work done by other researchers, and ignoring the concerns of local residents.

8. MERRICOURT

Location: McIntosh and Dickey Counties, North Dakota (EDF Renewable Energy)

Why listed: Threat to the Endangered Whooping Crane and other federally protected birds

This highly controversial project, which could involve the first incidental take permit for the Endangered Whooping Crane and which has already drawn the concern of conservation organizations, lies within the Whooping Crane migratory corridor and could also impact other federally protected birds including Piping Plovers, Sprague's Pipits, and Bald and Golden Eagles. The project would also be located in a key migratory corridor for vast numbers of waterbirds in North Dakota's sensitive Prairie Potholes region.

ABC and the International Crane Foundation expressed serious concerns to FWS about the revival of this project in November 2014, citing it as "another potential example of the failure of the current voluntary guidelines to protect our native bird species."



9. NINNESCAH

Location: Pratt County, Kansas (NextEra Energy Resources, LLC)

Why listed: Poses a high risk to Endangered Whooping Cranes through infrastructure development

Building this facility will require the construction of a 66-mile-long powerline and numerous 135-foot-tall supporting towers that would traverse the Whooping Crane migratory corridor and connect this to other wind energy projects, Flat Ridge I and II (existing) and III (proposed). The Quivira Wildlife Refuge, listed as Critical Habitat for migrating Whooping Cranes, is about 30 miles due north of the site, with several other wildlife refuges nearby. While the turbines themselves could pose a risk, collisions with power lines and towers are a leading cause of mortality for adult Whooping Cranes.

ABC and the International Crane Foundation have written to FWS expressing serious concern about the potential cumulative impact of the many wind facilities and power lines in the area on this Endangered species.



10. ROCK CREEK

Location: Atchison County, Missouri (TradeWind Energy)

Why listed: Poses a high risk to migratory birds and Bald Eagles moving in and out of the Squaw Creek Wildlife Refuge

This already-controversial project would place turbines in the migratory corridor used by vast numbers of birds on their way to and from Iowa to the Squaw Creek Wildlife Refuge in northwest Missouri. Migratory waterfowl, including Trumpeter and Tundra Swans, over a million Snow Geese, and a wide variety of duck species, also visit this area, which has been designated an Important Bird Area by ABC. Bald Eagles migrate into the Squaw Creek refuge and surrounding areas. By late fall and early winter, as many as 300 immature and adult Bald Eagles and an occasional Golden Eagle can be seen at the migration's peak. A 2001 survey recorded a record 476 Bald Eagles in the area.



FWS, Missouri's Department of Natural Resources, and ABC have expressed serious concerns about the siting of this project. A similar project, Mill Creek, was to be located near the refuge, but was canceled and moved due to opposition by ABC and local partners.

Existing and Proposed Wind Developments Posing Elevated Risks to Birds Due to Siting



Red dots indicate locations of existing and proposed wind developments considered to pose elevated risk to birds due to siting. Source: American Bird Conservancy Wind Risk Assessment Map, FAA and USGS databases (as of August 2014). See ABC's Press Release for related statistics: **abcbirds.org**